1 2	James M. Hanavan, State Bar No. 66097 Kristen E. Drake, State Bar No. 202827 CRAIGIE, McCARTHY & CLOW		
3	540 Pacific Avenue San Francisco, CA 94133		
4	Telephone: (415) 732-7788 Facsimile: (415) 732-7783		
5			
6 7	CERMA TECHNOLOGY, INC., GEORGE ACKERSON, MARY STRANAHAN,		
8	NICHOLAS STREIT and EDWARD HALBA		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
12	MOTOR WORKS LLC,	Case No.: 08-CV-03608 JW	
13	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION	
14	VS.	Date: October 5, 2009	
15 16	SAFER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., CERMA TECHNOLOGY, INC., GEORGE ACKERMAN, MARY STRANAHAN, NICHOLAS STREIT, TIM STREIT and	Time: 9:00 a.m. Courtroom: 8, 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor Judge: Hon. James Ware	
17	EDWARD HALBACH,		
18	Defendants.		
<ul><li>19</li><li>20</li></ul>	TO EACH PARTY AND THEIR ATTORNEY OF RECORD:		
	YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT on October 5, 2009 at 9:00 a.m. or as soon		
21	thereafter as it may be heard in Courtroom 8 of the above-entitled court located at 280 South First Street, San Jose, California, 95113, Hon. James Ware presiding, defendants Safer Technologies, Inc., Cerma Technology, Inc., George Ackerson, Mary Stranahan, Nicholas Streit and Edward Halbach ("defendants") will move this Court as follows:  1. For summary judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. R 56(a-c) on the grounds that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that defendants are entitled to judgment as a		
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>			
24			
25			
26			
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	matter of law for the reason that plaintiff can	not establish the <i>prima facie</i> elements of its case, has	
="		1	

failed to timely respond to Requests for Admissions, thereby admitting the lack of merit in it	ts
claims, and does not own the intellectual property at issue;	

- 2. Alternatively, for an order under Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 56(d) specifying the following material facts exist without substantial controversy and are deemed established for purposes of trial:
  - a) Plaintiff Motor Works cannot establish the *prima facie* elements of its case;
- b) Plaintiff Motor Works has failed to timely respond to defendant's Requests for Admissions, thereby admitting the matters requested; and,
  - c) Plaintiff Motor Works does not own the intellectual property at issue.

This Motion will be based upon this Notice of Motion and Motion, the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Declarations of Nicholas Streit and James M. Hanavan, together with exhibits thereto, all pleadings and papers on file in this action, and upon such matters as may be presented to the Court at the time of the hearing.

15 Dated: August 31, 2009

CRAIGIE, McCARTHY & CLOW

## /s/ James M. Hanavan\_

By: James M. Hanavan
Attorneys for Defendants
SAFER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
CERMA TECHNOLOGY, INC.,
GEORGE ACKERSON,
MARY STRANAHAN,
NICHOLAS STREIT and
EDWARD HALBACH